

~~SECRET~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

22 OCT 1959

25X1A9a

MEMORANDUM FOR: Plans and Policy Staff, OTR

ATTENTION:

Mr. [REDACTED]

SUBJECT:

OTR War Plan

REFERENCE:

Memo for DDP/TRO from OTR/PPS dated 9 October 1959,
subject: "OTR Emergency, Contingency, and War Plan"Document No. 433NO CHANGE in Class. ☐☐ DECLASSIFIED

Class. CHANGED BY: 15 5 (C)

Auth: ODA Memo, 4 Oct 59

Auth: ODA REG. 77/1783

Date: 6 Feb 78 By: [REDACTED]

I appreciate the opportunity to go over some points of the war plan which you forwarded on 9 October, and have also had it looked at by one of my FI Staff colleagues who is particularly familiar with our own DD/P planning and that of the Agency as a whole. We have only a few and very minor comments and these I am listing below, keyed to the pages and paragraphs of your text.

a. Page 7, paragraph 3.a.(3). As phrased I think this is susceptible to the interpretation that it lays upon non-OTR components some of the responsibilities appropriate to OTR itself. I would suggest as an alternative reading: "All CIA components will determine and define their wartime training requirements in terms of the training objectives to be achieved."

b. Page 7, paragraph 3.a.(4). Generally all right but I think some reference to coordination with OTR would help limit possible duplication and confusion.

c. Page 8, paragraph 3.b.(2) and (3). These two portions seem generally unobjectionable although in practice I suspect the six months lead time may represent an ideal pretty hard to attain.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~SECRET~~

SECRET

d. Page 8, paragraph 3.c. I am not sure that this paragraph as a whole is appropriate in an OTR war planning document. Insofar as it spells out DD/P responsibilities, I believe that much of this is inherent in the responsibility of Chiefs of Station and should be perhaps more correctly expressed in training annexes to DD/P Headquarters and Field emergency plans. ✓

e. Page 10, paragraph 3.d. I would raise the same question here as in the preceding sub-paragraph. Certainly it seems inappropriate to attempt to define in this paper the manner or extent to which DD/P Division Chiefs should delegate any of their authority. It may also be that a better vehicle for the delegation of D/TR's authority to ~~components within~~ DD/P is the training annex to the CIA Global War Plan. ✓

* f. Page 22, paragraph 3.e.(1)(t) and (v). Unobjectionable. I would like an opportunity at the proper time to talk further about (v).

g. Page 27, paragraph 3.e.(1). I would rephrase this sub-paragraph to eliminate reference to the RMD. OK

* h. Page 30, sub-paragraph (i). I take it that "within" should read "with". I am not sure just what the implications of this are and would prefer to discuss it further.


DD/P Training Officer

25X1A9a

SECRET